# A RESPONSE TO MERYL DOREY'S LETTER OF 7 SEPTEMBER 2009 TO THE HCCC.

Firstly, I should explain why it took me over 6 weeks to respond to Meryl Dorey's attack on me. I was unaware of her letter of 7 September until a week ago, 16 October 2009. The HCCC, in accordance with Meryl Dorey's begging that it not be sent to me, conformed to her request, as is proper. I remained unaware of the untrue defamatory statements made about me until a friend notified me that Meryl Dorey had posted the letter on the AVN website.

So, to expose Meryl Dorey's first piece of hypocrisy, she wrote in her letter:

"I (Meryl Dorey) and the AVN strenuously request that the contents of the attached response be dealt with by the HCCC on a strictly confidential basis and not be provided to Mr McLeod until a final decision has been reached. "And

"I (Meryl Dorey) and the AVN request that the HCCC use the attached response for the purposes of assessing the complaint only, and not be provided to Mr McLeod or any group with which he is associated." And

"I (Meryl Dorey) fear that the provision of our response to him will just generate more hostility and aggression by him towards the AVN."

Then she went and posted it on her website. <sup>1</sup> Obviously, her appeal to the HCCC to keep the letter from me because of my supposed behaviour was a sham.

Next, Meryl Dorey makes quite defamatory and damaging allegations against me such as:

"Mr McLeod has made numerous direct communications to the AVN and myself via email communication over the last few years, and such communications have been very rude and aggressive in tone and intimidating in nature, particularly towards myself. I have found dealing with this man very distressing and hurtful," and

"the threat that he poses and continues to pose to me and my family is very real...." and

"I can give no explanation for the ferocity with which he pursues both myself and the AVN..."

WOW!! "Hostility", "aggression", "rude", "aggressive" "intimidating" "threat" "ferocity" "pursues." These are very serious allegations against me. This is not the first time that Meryl Dorey has defamed me; see her email of Tuesday 1 Tuesday, 1 September 2009 2:52 PM, (attached) in which she says inter alia:

"In conjunction with the HCCC complaint, several anti-AVN websites have sprung into existence, some of them inciting violence against AVN activities and myself." (Dorey), and

"Due to these threats, for the first time in 16 years, we hired a security guard......"

Meryl Dorey's implication, that I was knowingly working in conjunction with people who have incited violence, is very clearly a defamation, and actionable. But wait! as they say, there's more!

In her email, she alleges that;

- > I lied: "Despite his denial of involvement with the Skeptics......","
- ➤ I am an atheist and hater: "......the non-Skeptics who hate God, complementary health, the AVN and me......" (Dorey);
- ➤ I am gullible: I "accept without question anything that is mainstream, drug based, genetically engineered or approved by scientists who often have strong financial links to the products they are recommending."
- ➤ I am a defamer: my supposed "slanderous letters written by the author of the HCCC complaint",

So this led to my email of 1 September to her in which I said that I entirely rejected all of her baseless allegations, and asked her where I have engaged in threats and intimidation. I also requested that she issue a retraction and apology. My response to her baseless allegations was entirely appropriate. She has never replied to this email.

I do not know why Meryl Dorey makes these allegations against me, except perhaps to gather sympathy and to denigrate my original complaint to the HCCC by denigrating me. So, let us look at the evidence, let us look at the emails she complains of. I have gone back though my records and found the emails, which are attached. (In the last email sent today, I have asked her to confirm that no emails are missing. If I get a response, that will be posted here.) Readers will note that Meryl Dorey's allegations are baseless, untrue, fabricated, fictitious, mendacious, falsehoods.

Let's not indulge in euphemisms and allusions here, let's not beat about the bush; her allegations relating to my behaviour are lies.


See for yourself.

-16 -11whol

Ken McLeod

23 October 2009

<sup>1</sup> http://avn.org.au/library/images/pdfs/hccc reply.pdf.



From: "Ken McLeod" <Turlinja@bigpond.net.au>

To: <meryl@living-wisdom.com>
Sent: Monday, 15 June 2009 11:38 AM

Subject: WHOOPING COUGH

Hello Meryl

I must confess that I am a little confused.

I am told that on television recently, you said that people:

"....didn't die from it (whooping cough) 30 years ago and you're not going to die from it today".

#### and:

"we treated whooping cough homeopathically and none of us were sick for more than 2 weeks. My vaccinated children got it and my unvaccinated children got it. And none of us were sick for more than 2 weeks and it was nothing more than a bad cough"." Would you confirm your statement that whooping cough is not a fatal disease, please.

And regarding your second statement, would you mind telling me;

- who diagnosed these illnesses on that occasion?
- Do you advocate homeopathy as a treatment for whooping cough?

I hope that you can clear up my confusion,

regards

Ken

From: "Ken McLeod" <Turlinja@bigpond.net.au>
To: "Meryl Dorey" <meryl@avn.org.au>
Sent: Monday, 13 July 2009 4:40 PM

Subject: GARDASIL DEATHS

Good afternnon Meryl

I see on your website an article republished from "Natural News" that says: "The European Medicines Agency (EMEA) has reported that two young women died shortly after receiving Merck's Gardasil, a vaccine against several varieties of human papillomavirus (HPV). The EMEA did not release the names or ages of the women who died, and said the cause of death was still unknown. It described their deaths as "sudden and unexpected." [i]

What the European Medicines Agency (EMEA) actually say is that:

"In both cases, the cause of death could not be identified. No causal relationship has been established between the deaths of the young women and the administration of Gardasil. On the basis of the currently available evidence, the EMEA's Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) is of the opinion that the benefits of Gardasil continue to outweigh its risks and that no changes to its product information are necessary." [ii]

Why do you omit the information that "no causal relationship has been established between the deaths of the young women and the administration of Gardasil" and the "EMEA's Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) is of the opinion that the benefits of Gardasil continue to outweigh its risks"?

Are you being selective here, in including references that support your case and omitting references that discredit it?

regards

Ken McLeod

[i] http://avn.org.au/library/index.php/news-and-events/two-more-girls-die-after-getting-gardasil-cervical-cancer-vaccine.html accessed 13 July 2009.

[ii] http://www.emea.europa.eu/humandocs/PDFs/EPAR/gardasil/Gardasil\_press\_release.pdaccessed 13 July 2009

From: "Ken McLeod" <Turlinja@bigpond.net.au>
To: "Meryl Dorey" <meryl@avn.org.au>
Sent: Monday, 13 July 2009 4:52 PM

**Subject:** Bacterial Meningitis

# Dear Meryl

I refer to your statement regarding Bacterial Meningitis, that:

"When we look back at the history in Australia, we see that these bacterial meningitis infections have increased exponentially....since mass vaccination was introduced....." [i]

According to the research I found, coinciding with the introduction of the national meningococcal C immunisation program in January 2003, the notification rate decreased by 39% while the hospitalisation rate decreased by 47%. [ii]

Can you give me a reference for your statement or explain the discepancy please,

regards

Ken McLeod

[i] Channel 7 current affairs show, "Sunday Night". http://www.youtube.com/watch? v=N-63XHXxTM4

[ii] Aust Dept of Health And Aging "Vaccine Preventable Diseases and Vaccination Coverage in Australia, 2003 to 2005 Meningococcal disease"

From: "Ken McLeod" <Turlinja@bigpond.net.au>

To: <meryl@living-wisdom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 14 July 2009 4:43 PM

**Subject:** Re: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!

dear Meryl

the email from you below is very distrurbing. Did you seek comment from Baxter before you sent it out, and if so, what did they have to say? I can see that it is very defammatory, so if it is not absolutely true and can be verified, you are looking at a massive court action,

# regards

## Ken

---- Original Message -----

From: Meryl Dorey - President Australian Vaccination Network

To: turlinja@bigpond.net.au

Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 2:12 PM

Subject: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!



July 2009



Join Our List

Join Our Mailing List!

View our previous E-Newsletters



**Action alert - compulsory H1N1 (Swine flu) vaccine just around the corner** 



It is happening just as we said it would. First, we said that a worldwid pandemic would be declared and that has happened. Because of the pandemic, we said that the government would use its emergency







powers to enforce vaccination. That hasn't happened here in Australia yet but in the US and the UK, all the talk is about getting EVERYONE vaccinated against swine flu and normal human flu this coming (Northern) winter.

The UK government has pre-ordered 90 million doses of the vaccine and has pushed through the testing (if you can call it that - they aren't even testing the actual vaccine - just a 'mock-up' shot whose composition is not the same) to 5 days and at the end of that time, all will be declared safe and it's time to roll up your sleeve - or else!

We here in Australia may have a little bit more time because our winter flu season doesn't start until a few months later, but there is no time to sit back and wait to see what happens. We need to take action now to let our elected representatives and the media know what we feel about any form of forced medication.

Below are two facsimile letters - one that I've put together which can be sent to politicians and a fantastic short piece written by Winnie Harrison, a subscriber to our AVN discussion list. Feel free to use it either of these letters as is or to put these ideas into your own words. I have included these letters so that if you don't have the time to write your own, you can still send one. Every single person's letter is important so please - do this now!



Letter to politicians - representatives (by the way, if you are not in Australia, you can still use this letter for your own elected representatives since ALL countries are looking at using and perhaps mandating this vaccine).

Dear,

It has been brought to my attention that Baxter International, a major multi-national pharmaceutical company which has now received contracts from nations all around the world to produce and distribute a new swine flu (H1N1) vaccine, is under suspicion for the following reasons:

- 1- In February, 2009, Baxter's Austrian branch sent batches of what was supposed to be human influenza (H3N2) vaccine to 18 different European countries. These countries were to use these vaccine samples to produce human flu vaccines for their populations. One country, the Czech Republic, tested these batches and found them to be contaminated with live Avian inflenza virus (H5N1 Bird flu). Had this vaccine been administered to people prior to this contamination being discovered, it could have caused a deadly pandemic.
- 2- Baxter had been given stocks of Avian inflenza virus by the US government so it could work on producing a vaccine against any future outbreak of this disease. This virus was provided to them under the condition that they would adhere to the required Biosafety Level 3 strict controls. Had these controls been followed, this sort of



contamination would have been impossible. There are only 2 conclusions one can draw from the fact that this contamination event took place. Either:

Baxter were incredibly careless with their procedures causing an accidental contamination which then, incredibly, was allowed to get out into millions of doses of vaccine before anyone noticed; or

The contamination was intentional and it was only a matter of luck and good due diligence on the part of the Czech officials that this was caught before anyone received the contaminated vaccine lots.

3- The outbreak of Swine Flu (H1N1) which has led the World Health Organisation (WHO) to declare the highest possible level of pandemic awareness - Level 6 - began in Mexico City - right near a Baxter Labs facility which was working on experimental flu vaccine. This might be no more than a coincidence were it not for the fact that on August 28, 2008, Baxter Labs filed for a patent (# US20090060950A1) on H1N1 virus - almost a full year before this outbreak occurred or H1N1 had ever been heard of! This patent demonstrates that Baxter was working on a vaccine which would have been composed of several different types of viruses including human, pig, dog, horse and avian flu. Is it too much to expect that the company which sent out contaminated flu vaccines in February could not have done exactly the same thing several months later in May?

The New Zealand Minister of Health, the Hon Tony Ryall, has asked Ministry of Health officials to urgently advise him on issues raised about a swine flu vaccine produced by Baxter International Inc. There is grave concern that due to the past record of contamination and errors made by Baxter Labs, none of their vaccines can be trusted.

As a concerned citizen, I agree that there is cause to question Baxter's products and hope that you will also see that there are very serious outcomes possible if a pharmaceutical company, which has been given a contract to make vaccines which will protect health, instead distributes vaccines which spread disease and possibly, even cause death.

It is my will that you do everything within your power to call for a public and transparent investigation of this situation and that any vaccines produced by Baxter International should be thoroughly examined by an independent laboratory for any evidence of contamination with adventitious viruses or bacteria and that the results of this investigation be made public as a matter of urgency.

I thank you for your time and await your prompt response to my letter.

Kind regards,

References:

Baxter Sent Bird Flu Virus to European Labs by Error

Baxter Pharmaceutical Plant in Mexico- Ground Zero for Flu Outbreak

<u>Letter Sparks Investigation of Baxter Vaccine by New Zealand Minister of</u> Health

Winnie's letter (below) is perfect for sending to any media outlet. It is short (we should try to keep these letters to between 200 and 250

words to ensure that they are not edited) and very much to the point. I think that if all of us sent this letter or one very much like it to our local newspapers, TV stations and radio stations, we would have an excellent chance of raising some interest in this issue.


Dear,


I was shocked to learn recently that pharmaceutical company Baxter International filed a patent for a swine flu vaccine a year ago, before the swine flu was ever heard of. This is the same company responsible for sending contaminated flu vaccine out earlier this year (Please note - the source for this information is the Bloomberg reference above - it might be a good idea to cite this at the end of your letter). Does anyone else find this awfully suspicious? I know I'm not getting any experimental swine flu vaccine - especially one made by Baxter.

By the way, if you are not yet a subscriber to our vaccination discussion list, please join today (it's FREE) by visiting our web site and clicking on the link on the right hand side of the page that looks like this or you can just click on the link below:



This will allow you to enter your email address and once you have confirmed this via the email that will be sent to you, you will be all set to participate in our discussions about vaccination and health.





# Webinars

Folks - we have had to cancel several events due to people not registering until the last minute. Please, these speakers are the tops in their field and you will enjoy and learn so much from attending these webinars - at your own convenience and at your own time. Please don't wait until the day before the event to register - click now and you will NOT be sorry you did.

Miracles Do Come True with John Hinwood Thursday 23rd July 2009 at 7pm to 8.30pm

For the last 21 years, Australian chiropractor Dr John Hinwood has



been handing out a small white card to almost everyone he has met. The card has just three words written on it "Expect a Miracle" John estimates he has handed out almost 70,000 cards. John is a crusader for a better life for people. He believes that most people are not aware of the opportunities in their lives.

Don't miss out on your opportunity to hear this amazing man speak and have the opportunity to have him answer you questions afterwards. \$20.00 Buy tickerts here

#### The Voodoo Children?

with Dr Eisenstein and Meryl Dorey Wed, Jul 29, 2009 8:00 PM - 9:00 PM CDT

Dr Eisenstein's Guest: MERYL DOREY

Meryl Dorey is President of the Australian Vaccination Network (AVN) and Editor of Informed Voice magazine, a publication which supports natural health, instinctive parenting, organics and a greener environment.

She is also the mother of 4 children aged between 20 and 13 - one of whom was injured by vaccines. This event led to almost 20 years of research into how vaccinations can affect the health of both children and adults and a passionate belief in everyone's right to make free and informed health choices without fear of discrimination or financial penalties.

The AVN was formed due to a need in the community for better information about this important health issue and for support as well for those whose families have suffered as a result of vaccine reactions or health-based discrimination.

FREE Register here

Enhancing your child's health with chiropractic care by Suzanne Labrie Thursday 6th of August 2009 at 7pm to 8.30pm

How to help your child (or yourself!) achieve their potential. Attention Deficit Disorder, behavioural issues, other learning difficulties and immune system dysfunction.

#### The role of Chiropractic

There are many factors that contribute to the diagnosis of Attention Deficit Disorder (ADD) and related conditions, which can be part of learning difficulties, other behavioural issues and/or just generally compromised health.

The chiropractic perspective is to investigate which of the structural, biochemical, and stress factors are involved which may contribute to the cause.

One of the key issues is a lack of focus and concentration in an otherwise bright and creative child (or adult). This can often be from cranial faults (ie the bones in the head) and/or stress and/or toxicity, causing subsequent neurotransmitter imbalances and structural faults that can affect eye tracking etc.

Neurotransmitters are chemicals in the nervous system which make the nerves work properly and toxicity can prevent the enzymes from converting substances to their active form in order to make this

happen.

The child (or adult) may be in constant "fight or flight" which can cause many immune system problems which may actually be the patients main symptoms.

This seminar will give you an idea of where to start in terms of what your child might need and show some of the many options available to parents for any child to realise their potential, no matter what their symptoms.

\$20 Buy tickets here

# Enhancing your child's health with chiropractic care

How to help your child (or yourself!) achieve their potential

Webinar: Suzanne Labrie of Pure Health Solutions in Sydney



Did you know that the AVN now has a presence on Facebook and Twitter as well as a Blog that is updated on an almost daily basis? If you are interested in staying abreast of the current issues involved in the fields of vaccination, natural health, organics, the environment and instinctive parenting, click on the links below to follow us on our progress towards a better informed and more proactive Australian nation.





## The 11th hour...tick tock

Thursday, July 16th is the deadline for professional listings in Living Wisdom #4.

If you are a professional member whose membership has expired or if you would like to take advantage of the excellent value our professional members receive (3 copies of each



quarterly magazine, listings in the publication and on our web site for only \$200 / year), please call us on 02 6687 1699 before 5 PM on Thursday. Ask to speak with either <u>Judy</u> or <u>Janiece</u>.

We are also finalising the mailing list for the next edition of the magazine and this is going to be a huge job - even bigger than normal - because of all the Kindred subscribers who are joining us for this edition and who all need to be entered into our database system. If you are a current member whose membership is expiring and you have received a renewal notice or if you haven't yet subscribed, please do so by the 31st of July. That will be so helpful to us in so many ways. You can of course renew or join after that time, but it will save us heaps on postage if your magazine can be mailed with all of the others using print post.

#### Please share the information by forwarding to a friend!

#### SafeUnsubscribe®

This email was sent to turlinja@bigpond.net.au by <a href="mailto:meryl@living-wisdom.com">meryl@living-wisdom.com</a>.

<u>Update Profile/Email Address</u> | Instant removal with <u>SafeUnsubscribe™</u> | <u>Privacy Policy</u>.

Email Marketing by



Australian Vaccination Network, Inc. | PO Box 177 | BANGALOW | NSW | 2479 | Australia

23/10/2009

From: "Ken McLeod" <Turlinja@bigpond.net.au>
To: "Meryl Dorey" <meryl@living-wisdom.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, 14 July 2009 6:05 PM

**Subject:** Re: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!

thanks for the prompt response Meryl.

I am afraid, tho, that the references you cite will be regarded by the Court as "unreliable", and your email is certainly defamatory of the management of Baxter. Making the comments in the Australian jurisdiction about people in another jurisdiction will not protect you. When it comes to punitive damages, the Court will take into account your evident lack of an attempt to seek comment from Baxter. I think it's not too late to do that.

I cannot see the relevance to the fact that Baxter sought patents over variants of the H1N1 virus(es). The H1H1 variants have been around for a very long time, and a patent application does not signify that the claimant asserts it is new; what is new is that the patent applies to the genome identified by the applicant. The 1918 Flu epidemic, for instance, was a H1N1 variant.

#### regards

#### Ken

----- Original Message -----From: Meryl Dorey To: Ken McLeod

Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 4:32 PM

Subject: Re: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!

Dear Ken,

Please read the references cited below. Yes, it is disturbing that any company would place people's lives at risk for the sake of money. And if telling the verifiable truth leaves me open to charges of defamation, then the law is an ass.

On 14/07/2009, at 3:43 PM, Ken McLeod wrote:

#### dear Meryl

the email from you below is very distrurbing. Did you seek comment from Baxter before you sent it out, and if so, what did they have to say? I can see that it is very defammatory, so if it is not absolutely true and can be verified, you are looking at a massive court action,

## regards

# Ken

---- Original Message -----

From: Meryl Dorey - President Australian Vaccination Network

To: turlinja@bigpond.net.au

Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 2:12 PM

Subject: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!



"Ken McLeod" <Turlinja@bigpond.net.au>
"Meryl Dorey" <meryl@living-wisdom.com>
Tuesday, 14 July 2009 6:29 PM
Re: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination! From:

Subject:

thanks Meryl, but I am not a member of the Australian Skeptics. I think you should be

more careful with your "facts".

regards

Ken

From: Meryl Dorey

To: Ken McLeod Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 5:25 PM

Subject: Re: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!

Dear Ken.

I have no intention of continuing this discussion. If Baxter Labs would like to pursue legal action, it is up to them to contact me and begin proceedings. Until then, I'm afraid that I have nothing more to say to you or anyone else from the Australian Sceptics.

On 14/07/2009, at 5:05 PM, Ken McLeod wrote:

thanks for the prompt response Meryl.

I am afraid, tho, that the references you cite will be regarded by the Court as "unreliable", and your email is certainly defamatory of the management of Baxter. Making the comments in the Australian jurisdiction about people in another jurisdiction will not protect you. When it comes to punitive damages, the Court will take into account your evident lack of an attempt to seek comment from Baxter. I think it's not too late to do that.

I cannot see the relevance to the fact that Baxter sought patents over variants of the H1N1 virus(es). The H1H1 variants have been around for a very long time, and a patent application does not signify that the claimant asserts it is new; what is new is that the patent applies to the genome identified by the applicant. The 1918 Flu epidemic, for instance, was a H1N1 variant.

regards

Ken

-- Original Message From: Meryl Dorey
To: Ken McLeod

Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 4:32 PM

Subject: Re: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!

Dear Ken,

Please read the references cited below. Yes, it is disturbing that any company would place people's lives at risk for the sake of money. And if telling the verifiable truth leaves me open to charges of defamation, then the law is an ass.

On 14/07/2009, at 3:43 PM, Ken McLeod wrote:

dear Meryl

the email from you below is very distrurbing. Did you seek comment from Baxter before you sent it out, and if so, what did they have to say? I can see that it is very defammatory, so if it is not absolutely true and can be verified, you are looking at a massive court action,

regards

#### Ken

---- Original Message -----

From: Meryl Dorey - President Australian Vaccination Network

To: turlinja@bigpond.net.au Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 2:12 PM

Subject: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!



July 2009



Join Our List

Join Our Mailing List!

View our previous E-Newsletters







# Action alert - compulsory H1N1 (Swine flu) vaccine just around the corner



It is happening just as we said it would. First, we said that a worldwide pandemic would be declared and that has happened. Because of the pandemic, we said that the government would use its emergency powers to enforce vaccination. That hasn't happened here in Australia yet but in the US and the UK, all the talk is about getting EVERYONE vaccinated against swine flu and normal human flu this coming (Northern) winter.

The UK government has pre-ordered 90 million doses of the vaccine and has pushed through the testing (if you can call it that - they aren't even testing the actual vaccine - just a 'mock-up' shot whose composition is not the same) to 5 days and at the end of that time, all will be declared safe and it's time to roll up your sleeve - or else!

We here in Australia may have a little bit more time because our winter flu season doesn't start until a few months later, but there is no time to sit back and wait to see what happens. We need to take action now to let our elected representatives and the media know what we feel about any form of forced medication.

Below are two facsimile letters - one that I've put together which can be sent to politicians and a fantastic short piece written by Winnie Harrison, a subscriber to our AVN discussion list. Feel free to use it either of these letters as is or to put these ideas into your own words. I have included these letters so that if you don't have the time to write your own, you can still send one. Every single person's letter is important so please - do this now!



Letter to politicians - representatives (by the way, if you are not in Australia, you can still use this letter for your own elected representatives since ALL countries are looking at using and perhaps mandating this vaccine).

Dear ,

It has been brought to my attention that Baxter International, a major multi-national pharmaceutical company which has now received contracts from nations all around the world to produce and distribute a new swine flu (H1N1) vaccine, is under suspicion for the following reasons:

1- In February, 2009, Baxter's Austrian branch sent batches of what was supposed to be human influenza (H3N2) vaccine to 18 different European countries. These countries were to use these vaccine samples to produce human flu vaccines for their populations. One country, the Czech Republic, tested these batches and found them to be contaminated with live Avian inflenza virus (H5N1 - Bird flu). Had this vaccine been administered to people prior to this contamination being discovered, it could have caused a deadly pandemic.

From: "Ken McLeod" <Turlinja@bigpond.net.au>

To: <meryl@avn.org.au>

Sent: Saturday, 15 August 2009 4:00 PM

Subject: Re: Media Release - Injunction issued to stop compulsory vaccination in the US

dear Meryl

I refer to your media release below and the statement that the "US District Court issues injunction to stop compulsory vaccination."

As you have already been informed, the article is entirely incorrect, and what appears to have been your source, "Natural News" magazine, has retracted the article and said in an editorial:

"(NaturalNews) Editor's Note: It has come to our attention that the following article is factually incorrect. It was written by a contributing writer, then approved by an in-house editor who did not catch the significant errors in this article. As a result of these significant errors, and due to our commitment to publishing only true and accurate information to the best of our ability, we have made an editorial decision to reject further articles from this author.

"NaturalNews deeply regrets this unintentional error, and we are brainstorming new ways to put in place tighter fact-checking oversight so that the same mistake does not happen again in the future. We thank all those who have brought this important matter to our attention, and we pledge to increase our efforts to reject stories that contain factual inaccuracies.

"For the record, what was factually incorrect about the story (which we confirmed by phone with a clerk of United States District Court of Trenton, New Jersey) is that no such injunction has been filed. Thus, the entire premise of the story was factually incorrect.

"Here at NaturalNews, we strive to bring you accurate, honest information on these topics, and we deeply regret the unintentional publishing of the inaccurate information that previously appeared in this article space."

Now that your media release has been shown unequivocally to be wrong, will you issue a retraction and apology to all of those to whom you sent the original press release?

sincerely

Ken

---- Original Message -----

From: Australian Vaccination Network

To: turlinja@bigpond.net.au

Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2009 9:02 AM

Subject: Media Release - Injunction issued to stop compulsory vaccination in the US



After more than 30 years
US District Court issues injunction to stop compulsory vac

23/10/2009

Wednesday, August 12th, 2009 - For Immediate Release:

# 30 years after compulsory vaccination became US Law: US Court issues an injunction to stop it and to hold the the government and drug companies responsible for reactions.

A Preliminary Injunction to stop mandatory vaccinations has been issued in the United States District Court of New Jersey. This comes after a federal lawsuit opposing forced vaccines was filed in that court by Tim Vawter, pro se attorney, on July 31st with the federal government as defendant. When the judge signs the Preliminary Injunction, it will stop the federal government from forcing anyone in any state to take flu vaccine against their will. It will also prevent a state or local government from forcibly vaccinating anyone, and forbid any person who is not vaccinated from being denied any services or constitutional rights. Vawter's filings included a Complaint, and several pages of evidentiary Exhibits.

Vawter's legal papers have been written not only for filing in federal court, but additionally so they can be looked at by activists around the world for ideas on filing lawsuits in their own countries to help stop forced vaccinations. Vawter believes that as the truth of the dangers of flu vaccines continues to become known, banning the forced use of them will eventually succeed on a worldwide basis. He cautions people to avoid fear and keep themselves focused on the task of blocking forced vaccination.

# Preliminary Injunction will immediately halt mandatory vaccinations in the U.S.

The Court, having heard the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and read the papers in its support, states in the Preliminary Injunction that it appears the federal government has engaged in some amount of negligence with regards to failure to properly investigate the safety of the flu vaccines scheduled for use in late 2009-2010, and the evidence submitted does warrant a more thorough investigation into the safety of the flu vaccines.

The Court ordered that the government shall be forbidden from forcing any person to be required to take any influenza vaccination against that person's free will and free choice. The government will not allow any state or local government, or any party, to force any person to be required to take any influenza vaccination against that person's free will and free choice.

# U.S. government sued for gross negligence and violation of the Constitution

In his Cause of Action, Vawter charged that the federal government has engaged in gross negligence by funding and promoting flu vaccines that are proven to be dangerous and manufactured with little oversight. The vaccines scheduled for use in late 2009 and 2010 contain heavy metals including thimerosal mercury, which have been proven to cause autism in children with lowered immune systems, and other dangerous and toxic ingredients. The federal government has stated it will force these flu vaccines onto the American public against their will, under a document signed by Health and Human Services Secretary Kathleen Sebelius.

He further charged that the vaccine makers stand to earn billions of dollars selling vaccines, and are already spending tens of millions advertising a "Phase 6 Pandemic" that the evidence shows does not really exist. The federal government has not required the World Health Organization (WHO) to show evidence of such a pandemic. There has been no collection of facts, sworn testimony, witnesses being questioned, hearings being held, or lie detector tests being given when preposterous statements have been made. The WHO declared a massive "Phase 6 Influenza Pandemic", even though only a few hundred people worldwide had so far died of this swine flu virus, and when far more people die each year of regular flu.

Vawter noted there is a preponderance of evidence to show that the federal government so poorly trained its employees that they eagerly agreed with the unsubstantiated claims of the WHO in the face of evidence to the contrary.

Forced vaccination would violate the Fourth Amendment of the Constitution by allowing the government to enter homes and force people to be vaccinated, or to forcibly remove people to another location for vaccination. It would also violate Fifth Amendment Constitutional rights by depriving people of liberty without due process of law.

Vawter charged that the federal government has engaged in gross negligence by failing to properly investigate factual evidence submitted by esteemed medical professions over many years which proves

flu vaccines have caused serious damage to people. The CDC has stated that thimerosal mercury is being used in the new flu vaccines being prepared.

The government has failed to investigate profiteering. Billions of dollars in vaccine sales can cause organizations to falsify threats so as to cause unwarranted public hysteria leading to forced vaccinations.

The government is guilty of gross negligence because its employees failed to properly investigate the release of a case of live swine flu virus. One of the main companies the government deals with, Baxter Vaccines, was apparently involved in the transporting of live bird flu virus that was released on a public train earlier this year. A lab technician with the Swiss National Center for Influenza in Geneva had traveled to Zurich to collect eight ampoules, five of which were filled with the H1N1 swine flu virus. However, failure of the dry ice in their container allowed pressure to build up, and the ampoules exploded as the train was pulling into a station.

The highly reputable UK newspaper "the Telegraph" reported on July 2nd that flu vaccines tested on homeless people caused twenty-one of them to die.

Vawter charged there is a preponderance of evidence to show that government will not provide people being vaccinated with a list of the vaccine ingredients and possible negative side effects before they are vaccinated. Most of the public will not know this flu vaccine contains thimerosal mercury.

Vawter submitted an Order to force the government to publish vaccine ingredients and side effects, and to give this information to everyone who takes a flu vaccine, and do so at least 3 days prior to their vaccination. A denial of this order would violate Plaintiff's rights to demand the government obey the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution by requiring it to engage in freedom of speech. The First Amendment not only allows a citizen to have freedom of speech himself, but it allows a citizen to demand his government engage in freedom of speech when it is promoting the use of such as these vaccinations to the public.

The government proclamation stating a person cannot sue for any damages he receives from the flu vaccine, completely bypasses the congress and the court system in violation of the Seventh Amendment of the Constitution which grants the right to sue to recover for damages. Vawter submitted an Order to deem unconstitutional any proclamation, rule or similar law that forbids people from suing for damages resulting from the vaccines of 2009 and 2010.

# About Australian Vaccination Network, Inc.

The AVN is a non-profit, volunteer-run charitable association. Since 1994, the AVN has provided information and support to the general community who are trying to make informed choices about vaccination and health. Their lobbying in Federal Parliament has ensured that compulsory vaccination for children has not come to pass and they are the major reporters of vaccine adverse reactions to ADRAC (The Adverse Drug Reactions Advisory Committee).

For more information visit <u>www.avn.org.au</u> or ph 02 6687 1699 Fax: 02 6687 2032 Mobile: 0414 872 032

Forward email

⊠ SafeUnsubscribe®

This email was sent to turlinja@bigpond.net.au by <a href="mailto:mervl@avn.org.au">mervl@avn.org.au</a>. <a href="mailto:Update Profile/Email Address">Update Profile/Email Address</a> | Instant removal with <a href="mailto:SafeUnsubscribe">SafeUnsubscribe</a> | <a href="mailto:Privacy Policy">Privacy Policy</a>.

Email Marketing by

Constant Contact

Australian Vaccination Network, Inc. | PO Box 177 | BANGALOW | NSW | 2479 | Australia

23/10/2009

"Ken McLeod" <Turlinja@bigpond.net.au>
"Meryl Dorey" <meryl@avn.org.au>
Tuesday, 25 August 2009 5:43 PM From: To:

Subject: Fw: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!

---- Original Message -----From: Ken McLeod

To: Meryl Dorey
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 5:29 PM

Subject: Re: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!

thanks Meryl, but I am not a member of the Australian Skeptics. I think you should be more careful with your "facts".

regards

Ken

From: Meryl Dorey

To: Ken McLeod

Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 5:25 PM Subject: Re: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!

Dear Ken.

I have no intention of continuing this discussion. If Baxter Labs would like to pursue legal action, it is up to them to contact me and begin proceedings. Until then, I'm afraid that I have nothing more to say to you or anyone else from the Australian Sceptics.

On 14/07/2009, at 5:05 PM, Ken McLeod wrote:

thanks for the prompt response Meryl.

I am afraid, tho, that the references you cite will be regarded by the Court as "unreliable", and your email is certainly defamatory of the management of Baxter. Making the comments in the Australian jurisdiction about people in another jurisdiction will not protect you. When it comes to punitive damages, the Court will take into account your evident lack of an attempt to seek comment from Baxter. I think it's not too late to do that.

I cannot see the relevance to the fact that Baxter sought patents over variants of the H1N1 virus(es). The H1H1 variants have been around for a very long time, and a patent application does not signify that the claimant asserts it is new; what is new is that the patent applies to the genome identified by the applicant. The 1918 Flu epidemic, for instance, was a H1N1 variant.

regards

Ken

--- Original Message ----From: Meryl Dorey
To: Ken McLeod

Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 4:32 PM

Subject: Re: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!

Dear Ken.

Please read the references cited below. Yes, it is disturbing that any company would place people's lives at risk for the sake of money. And if telling the verifiable truth leaves me open to charges of defamation, then the law is an ass.

On 14/07/2009, at 3:43 PM, Ken McLeod wrote:

dear Meryl

the email from you below is very distrurbing. Did you seek comment from Baxter before you sent it out, and if so, what did they have to say? I can see that it is very defammatory, so if it is not absolutely true and can be verified, you are looking at a massive court action,

regards

Ken


----- Original Message -----From: Meryl Dorey - President Australian Vaccination Network

To: turlinja@bigpond.net.au
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 2:12 PM

Subject: Action Alert - compulsory swine flu vaccination!



July 2009



View our previous **E-Newsletters** 







# Action alert - compulsory H1N1 (Swine flu) vaccine just around the



It is happening just as we said it would. First, we said that a worldwide pandemic would be declared and that has happened. Because of the pandemic, we said that the government would use its emergency powers to enforce vaccination. That hasn't happened here in Australia yet but in the US and the UK, all the talk is about getting EVERYONE vaccinated against swine flu and normal human flu this coming (Northern) winter

The UK government has pre-ordered 90 million doses of the vaccine and has pushed through the testing (if you can call it that - they aren't even testing the actual vaccine - just a 'mock-up' shot whose composition is not the same) to 5 days and at the end of that time, all will be declared safe and it's time to roll up your sleeve - or else!

We here in Australia may have a little bit more time because our winter flu season doesn't start until a few months later, but there is no time to sit back and wait to see what happens. We need to take action now to let our elected representatives and the media know what we feel about any form of forced medication.

Below are two facsimile letters - one that I've put together which can be sent to politicians and a fantastic short piece written by Winnie Harrison, a subscriber to our AVN discussion list. Feel free to use it either of these letters as is or to put these ideas into your own words. I have included these letters so that if you don't have the time to write your own, you can still send one. Every single person's letter is important so please - do this now!



Letter to politicians - representatives (by the way, if you are not in Australia, you can still use this letter for your own elected representatives since ALL countries are looking at using and perhaps mandating this vaccine).

Dear

It has been brought to my attention that Baxter International, a major multi-national pharmaceutical company which has now received contracts from nations all around the world to produce and distribute a new swine flu (H1N1) vaccine, is under suspicion for the following

1- In February, 2009, Baxter's Austrian branch sent batches of what was supposed to be

From: "Ken McLeod" <Turlinja@bigpond.net.au>
To: "Meryl Dorey" <meryl@avn.org.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 1 September 2009 6:22 PM

Subject: YOUR EMAIL OF TUESDAY 1 SEPTEMBER 2009 1:52 PM

# Dear Meryl,

I am deeply concerned with the contents of your email of Tuesday, 1 September 2009 1:52 PM. To put it succinctly, I regard it as defamatory and actionable, and I give a few examples of such defamation below. To refer to me as the author of the complaint to the HCCC without naming me will not save you from a defamation action: it is widely known that I submitted the complaint, and where I live.

Let me address some of your points......

You say of me that "Despite his denial of involvement with the Skeptics.....," Let's get the facts right: I never said that I was not involved with the Skeptics, I told you twice by email that "I am not a member of the Skeptics." There is a big difference. In this you imply that I am dishonest.

You also say: ".....the non-Skeptics who hate God, complementary health, the AVN and me......"(Dorey). This is also defamation: I do not "hate God", nor do I "hate complementary health, the AVN" and you. How can you say that? Are you a mind-reader to come to these conclusions? More defamation: you make me appear to be an atheist when I am not, adn to be a hateful irrational person when I am not.

Nor as you would have it, do I "accept without question anything that is mainstream, drug based, genetically engineered or approved by scientists who often have strong financial links to the products they are recommending." That is pure baseless speculation, and is defamatory in that you are attempting to make me look like a gullible fool and a pawn of the pharmaceutical industry.

As for your paragraph "In conjunction with the HCCC complaint, several anti-AVN websites have sprung into existence, some of them inciting violence against AVN activities and myself." (Dorey). The implication that I am knowingly working in conjunction with people who have incited violence is very clearly a defamation, and actionable. For you to say "Due to these threats, for the first time in 16 years, we hired a security guard......" shows that you supposedly took the supposed threats very seriously, and so therefore I am a very nasty violent person indeed, and made your "concern" very public, and this compounds the defamation.

As for your paragraph referring to "slanderous letters written by the author of the HCCC complaint", everything I said in my letter was based on quotes from you yourself. To imply that I sullied your reputation with baseless allegations is again defamatory. And for the record, "slander" involves defamation in speech. If you want the word for defamation in writing, you will find "libel" in the dictionary.

As for your paragraph "I never dreamed that people such as this even existed - let alone that they would stoop to such depths......." More defamation.

As for your paragraph "......any organisation that needs to rely on threats and intimidation to make their point cannot possibly have a legitimate argument." Please tell me where I have engaged in threats and intimidation. More defamation.

I entirely reject all of your baseless allegations. They are actionable because they are untrue, are intended to bring me into disrepute and the subject of public hatred and ridicule, and are disseminated to a wide audience. I am deeply hurt and offended.

I request that you issue an email to exactly the same addressees, retracting everything you said of me, and apologise. Your failure to do that will see me referring this matter to my solicitor.

Ken McLeod

From: "Ken McLeod" <turlinja@internode.on.net>

To: "Meryl Dorey" <meryl@avn.org.au>
Sent: Friday, 23 October 2009 12:54 PM
Attach: AllTheEmails.pdf - Adobe Acrobat.pdf
YOUR RESPONSE TO THE HCCC

good afternoon Meryl

with regard to your response to the HCCC dated 7 September 2009, I see you refer to emails from me to you. I have examined my PC and copied all the relevant emails, as attached.

Can you confirm that there are no other emails that I may have missed and should have included please.

Also, in your e-newsletter dated September 2009, you say:

"A recent seminar which the AVN hosted was an example of this effort with slanderous letters written by the author of the HCCC complaint and others associated with the Skeptics forcing us to change venues at the last minute. Due to these threats, for the first time in 16 years, we hired a security guard for my talk - something which was never necessary before."

Would you be so kind as tp provide me with copies of those emails and also whatever threats that are purported to have been made by me.

regards

Ken McLeod